Legal Notice
Town of Hamburg Planning Board
Work Session - February 2, 2005

The Town of Hamburg Planning board will meet for a Work Session on Wednesday, February 2, 2005 at 7:30 p.m. in the Conference Room at S-6122 South Park Avenue to hear the following:

Public Hearing - 7:30 p.m. Triangle Subdivision - Bayview & Big Tree (Saxon Properties)

Public Hearing - 7:45 p.m. - Dean Subdivision - Eckhardt Road (4 lots) for Jack Becker

Project Review:

1. Tally-Ho Suites - Camp Road (sketch)
2. Clark Street Gas Station - Clark Street (sketch) - Saxon Properties
3. R. D. Murray site plan - Camp Road
4. South Park Woods
5. Tasseff (Ridgefield Cluster)
6. Arthur Court Apts. - South Park Ave.
7. Taylor Woods Revision

Gerard Koenig, Chairman
Paul Eustace, Secretary
Planning Board

Dated: 1-19-05

Town of Hamburg Planning Board
Work Session
February 2, 2005

Saxon Triangle 3 lot Subdivision Bayview & Big Tree	**Approved**
Dean Subdivision Eckhardt Rd. Jack Becker	**Tabled to 2-16-05**

Town of Hamburg
Planning Board Work Session
February 2, 2005

The Town of Hamburg Planning Board met for a Work Session in the Conference Room at S-6122 South Park Avenue on Wednesday, February 2, 2005. Those attending included: Chairman Gerard Koenig, Secretary Paul Eustace, Sasha Yerkovich, Karen Rogers, Steve McCabe, Bob Reynolds. Others attending included: Michael Fruth, Esq., Attorney Don McKenna, Drew Reilly, and Terry Dubey, Stenographer. Excused: D. Phillips

Saxon Triangle 3 Lot Subdivision - Bayview & Big Tree Road

Secretary Eustace read the following Legal Notice of Public Hearing:

LEGAL NOTICE
TOWN OF HAMBURG
PLANNING BOARD MEETING
FEBRUARY 2, 2005

Notice is hereby given that the Town of Hamburg Planning Board will conduct a Public Hearing on the "Saxon's 3 lot Subdivision" on Bayview & Big Tree Road for duplexes on Wednesday, February 2, 2005 at 7:30 p.m. in the Conference Room at S-6122 South Park Avenue.

All that tract or parcel of land situate in the Town of Hamburg, County of Erie and State of New York, being part of Lot No. 8, Township 9, Range 8 of the Holland Land Company's Survey, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the north line of Big Tree Road, said north line also being the south line of Lot No. 8 at its intersection with the southwesterly line of Bay View Road; thence westerly along the north line of Big Tree Road 604.14 feet to the west line of Lot No. 8; thence northerly along the west line of Lot No. 8, 119.02 feet to the southwest corner of lands conveyed to Odyssey 2001, Inc. by deed recorded in Erie County Clerk's Office in liber 11037 of Deeds at page 8037; thence easterly along the south line of aforesaid deed, 149.69 feet; thence northeasterly along the southeast line of aforesaid deed, 210 feet to the southwesterly line of Bay View Road; thence southeasterly along the southwest line of Bayview Road 422.36 feet to the point of beginning.

Dated: 1-19-05

Gerard Koenig, Chairman
1-27 Paul Eustace, Secretary

Chairman Koenig declared the hearing open:

Messrs. Kevin Saxon and Frank Brzezinski appeared before the Planning Board on a proposed 3 lot subdivision for duplexes to be located near the intersection of Big Tree and Bayview Roads. The plan shows a detention basin that will be 2-3' in depth, designed for 5 buildings. Landscaping will be provided. A letter will be required from County Highway. The driveway has been moved as far away from the intersection as possible and turn around driveways will be provided.

Chairman Koenig asked if anyone wished to be heard for or against the subdivision. A resident of Steelton spoke of concerns of line of sight problems, and after reviewing the plan, felt comfortable with the proposal. Hearing was declared closed.

(Triangle Subdivision Cont.)

Motion was made by Mr. Reynolds, seconded by Mr. Eustace that having reviewed the plan, the Environmental Assessment Form, and comments from our advisory boards, Committees, and departments, we have determined that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and therefore issue a SEQR Negative Declaration, and approve the preliminary with the following conditions: Implementation of Engineering concerns in the memo of 2-2-05, that Highway Dept. Approval be obtained; waive curbing and parking bumpers, waiving sidewalks,and segmentation on SEQR as sewers are not available to all the lots on the property. Carried.

Engineering concerns: 1. Stormwater detention will be required for the project. Show the proposed location of detention facilities. 2. The site is located in an existing water district. Erie County water should be contacted to determine if there is adequate pressure and flow to service the site. 3. Site is located in the Hamburg Master Sewer district. Sewer service is currently available along Bayview Road. County Highway should be contacted for review and approval of work within the Big Tree Road right of way.

Dean 3 Lot Subdivision - Eckhardt Road - for Jack Becker

Secretary Eustace read the Legal Notice of Public Hearing:

TOWN OF HAMBURG
LEGAL NOTICE
DEAN SUBDIVISION
ECKHARDT ROAD —
LAKEVIEW, NEW YORK

Notice is hereby given that the Town of Hamburg Planning Board will hold a Public Hearing on the "Dean Subdivision" (4 lots) located on Eckhardt Road in Lakeview on Wednesday, February 2, 2005 at 7:45 p.m. in the Conference room at S-6122 South Park Avenue.

All that Tract or Parcel of land situate in the Town of Hamburg, County of Erie and State of New York and also being Part of Lot 50, T-9, R-9, of the Holland Land Survey so called, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the centerline of Eckhardt Road distant 543.06 feet as measured along the centerline of Eckhardt Road from the intersection of the centerline of Old Lake Shore Road (Old Lake Shore Road being 66.0 feet wide).

Running Thence southeasterly along the centerline of Eckhardt Road a distance 394.89+ or - feet to an angle point therein.

Running Thence southerly continued along the centerline of Eckhardt Road a distance 680.0 + or - feet to a point on the centerline of Schoellkopf Road (said Schoellkopf Road being 66.0 feet wide).

Running Thence westerly along the centerline of Schoellkopf Road aforesaid a distance 969.8 + or - feet to a point.

Running thence northerly easterly, westerly along the westerly and southerly lines of lands conveyed to Dean Becker by deed and filed in the Erie County Clerk's Office in Liber 9456 at page 304 the following courses and distances:

1. Northerly a distance 33.0 + or - feet to an angle point therein.
2. Easterly a distance 175.0 + or - feet to an angle point therein.
3. Northerly a distance 100.0 + or - feet to an angle point therein.
4. Westerly a distance 175.0 + or - feet to an angle point therein.
5. Northerly a distance 508.3 + or - feet to an angle point therein.
6. Northwesterly a distance 325.2 + or - feet to a point on the southeasterly line of Old Lake Shore Road aforesaid.

Running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Old Lake Shore Road aforesaid a distance 248.0 feet to a point.

Running Thence southeasterly a distance of 300.0 + or - feet to a point.

Running thence northeasterly a distance of 388.0 + or - feet to a point.

Running thence southeasterly a distance 210.0 + or - feet to a point.

Running thence northeasterly a distance 263.06 feet to the point or place of beginning containing 20.6 + or - acres of land more or less.

Dated: 1-19-05

Gerard Koenig, Chairman
Paul Eustace, Secretary
1-27 Planning Board

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Environmental Permits, Region 9
270 Michigan Avenue, Buffalo, New York, 14203-2999
Phone: (716) 851-7165 • **FAX:** (716) 851-7168
Website: www.dec.state.ny.us

Erin M. Crotty
Commissioner

January 14, 2005

Mr. Andrew C. Reilly, P.E.
Town of Hamburg Planning Department
6100 South Park Avenue
Hamburg, New York 14075

Dear Mr. Reilly:

THREE-LOT SUBDIVISION - BECKER PARCELS
ECKHARDT ROAD
TOWN OF HAMBURG - ERIE COUNTY

This is in response to your notice dated January 6, 2005 requesting SEQR Lead Agency status for the above-noted project. As you know, this project has a long history of review by this Department, from at least February 23, 2000 to the present, based on correspondence. While the presently proposed 3-lot development does not involve the whole property owned by the project sponsors (Jack and Dean Becker) and may be a routine approval, this Department has significant concerns (possible federal wetlands, archaeological sensitivity, gas well location, drainage and flooding, etc.) about development of the entire property and has documented those concerns to the applicant and Town through the years. In respect to this, we urge you to seriously consider our request that the project sponsors make a commitment as noted in item number 1 (bolded) of this letter.

1. The SEQR regulations, 6NYCRR Part 617.7(c)(2), state, "the Lead Agency must consider . . . any long-range plan of which the action under consideration is a part" for the purpose of determining whether a proposed action may have a significant effect on the environment. Moreover, if the project becomes controversial, not properly carrying out such a complete and comprehensive review could become an important factor for legal challenge to the SEQR process based on SEQR segmentation. Since it appears that the project sponsors own additional land adjacent to the project area, we must request additional information regarding the entire scope of development planned for all the property **or obtain a suitable and clearly written statement from the project sponsors that there are no conceptual or other development plans or designs being prepared for more of the property than the 3 lots and any future proposal to develop the property would not occur for at least 5 to 10 years.**

2. Proposed Lot 3 is adjacent to a tributary of Eighteen Mile Creek. If any project work causes the bed or bank (within 50 feet of the stream) of the tributary to be physically disturbed (i.e., land clearing, filling, drainage pipe/ditch installation, etc.), a Protection of Waters Permit (Article 15, Title 5 of the Environmental Conservation Law) will be required from this Department.

3. The 3 lot project has been identified to be within an archaeologically sensitive area based on New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP) mapping. By copy of this letter to the project sponsors, we are sending a Structural Archaeological Assessment Form (SAAF) to be completed and returned to the Town and this office. If any discretionary permit (Protection of Water, Water Quality Certification or Sewer Extension Approval) is required from this Department, an archeological investigation of the 3 lots would have to be done and have the approval of OPRHP before Department permit issuance could occur.

4. The project will involve land disturbance of over 1 acre, and the project sponsors are required to obtain a State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Permit (GP-02-01) for Stormwater Discharge from Construction Activities. A Notice of Intent (NOI) is required to be sent to NYSDEC, Bureau of Water Permits, 625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-3505, telephone: 518/402-8111 and approved before construction commences. The General Permit GP-02-01 and NOI form are available on the Department's website at www.dec.state.ny.us, but for your convenience we have also included the NOI form.

   This General Permit requires the project sponsors (operators) and all contractors and subcontractors to control stormwater runoff according to the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, which is to be developed prior to filing NOI and prior to commencement of the project. Please note that filing for this General Permit represents a ministerial review action since the General Permit is not a discretionary approval.

The Department does concur that the Town of Hamburg should act as SEQR Lead Agency.

Respectfully,

Steven J. Doleski

Steven J. Doleski
Regional Permit Administrator

SJD:vm

cc: Mr. Chris Miller, NYSDEC Division of Mineral Resources, Allegany Sub-Office
Mr. John Finster, Erie County Health Department
Erie County Department of Environment and Planning
New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation
Mr. Jack Becker/Mr. Dean Becker, Project Sponsor

Dean Subdivision (Cont.)

Jack Becker, appeared before the Planning Board on a 3 lot subdivision on Eckhardt Road. A letter was received from the DEC, dated l-14-05 stating concerns on future development and s.l. 3 adjacent to a tributary of Eighteen Mile Creek.

Mr. Becker noted that the proposal is for single family dwellings that will have a setback of 75' on frontage to maintain a country look. An archaeological study was done and there is adequate sewer capacity. The Engineering Dept. Has concerns on drainage. Fifty years ago, this property was used for vineyards. Hearing was declared closed.

Engineering concerns are as follows:

TO: Planning Board

FROM : Engineering Dept.

DATE: 2/2/05

SUBJ: 2/2/05 PLANNING BOARD WORK SESSION AGENDA
Eckhardt Road 4 Lot Subdivision - Sketch Plan
Dean Becker

The following are review comments on a survey dated 1/20/03 and last revised on 12/15/04:

(1) The site is located within Erie County Sewer District No. 2 (ECSD No. 2). The district should be contacted to determine if there is sufficient available capacity in their system to service the site. A main line extension will be required.

(2) The Erie County Water Authority (ECWA) should be contacted for pressure and flow information in their system.

(3) Eckhardt Road and the land adjacent to the creek are subject to flooding. Previous development plans required that the creek area be undisturbed. The boundaries of the area affected by flooding need to be delineated to determine if there will be sufficient building area within the affected lots.

(4) Storm water detention will be required for the project. The detention area is to be part of the proposed sublots (privately owned and maintained). Show the detention area on the plan.

(5) It is considered that this is a 4 lot subdivision (Information Sheet shows 5 lots).

Motion was made Mr. Eustace, seconded by Mr. McCabe to table to the February l6th meeting and language prepared noting segmentation. Carried.

Clark Street Station & Deli - 4973 Clark & Bayview Roads

Messrs. K. Saxon and Frank Brzezinski appeared before the Planning Board on a sketch plan for a proposed gas station and Subway deli to be located in the former gas station on Clark Street. This is a .07 acre parcel, 268' x 99' zoned C-l. A use variance was recently granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals. Some screening is to be provided along the residential portion of the property. Thirteen parking spots have been indicated. The greatest concern will be traffic flow. Some curbing will be provided for two entrances. There will be four dispensers and 8 pumps. There will be back lot lighting and if the property desires, fencing will be provided. Two new gas tanks will be installed with a 10,000 gallon and 6,000 gallon capacity. Fuel deliveries will be made at off peak times. Proposal is to be sent to the Town of Orchard Park and County for their input. Applicant has asked to be on the March agenda.

Engineering Comments:

(1) We could not locate a previously approved site plan for this building.

(2) The proposed curbed island along the frontage is located within the Clark St. and Armor Duells Rd. right-of-way. Approval by the Erie County Highway Department (ECHD) will be required.

(3) Site drainage needs to be addressed.

(4) The garbage dumpster is to be placed on a concrete pad and screened on four sides.

(5) Provide the location of the existing utilities servicing the site.

(6) A typical pavement section is to be provided.

All comments are to be satisfactorily addressed for approval.

Planning Comments:

- This is a new application for site plan approval for the re-establishment (no grandfathering) of a gasoline station at Clark St. and Armor Duells. The application is a sketch plan, and it must be noted that the applicant received a use variance from the ZBA.
- It is the Planning Department's opinion that since the project received a Use Variance; the action does not need a Special Use Permit (use decision already made). We are still using the design criteria presented in the SUP section to help review the proposal, but we are not using them to determine if the action is acceptable or not.
- See review memos. Issues include access, internal traffic movements, and aesthetics.

MEMORANDUM

To: Cliff Krumm

From: Vic Martucci VM

Date: February 1, 2005

Re: South Park Woods – New Home Models

Per your request, the following are homes that Marrano can build in the South Park Woods Subdivision under current R-2 setback requirements, and under the proposed cluster setback requirements.

I. Current R-2 Setbacks (minimum of 15ft. side yard setback)

Model	Dimensions	Square Footage
Heritage I	35' x 28'	1,260 sf.
Heritage IV	42'4" x 34'	1,736 sf.

II. Proposed Cluster Setbacks (minimum of 10 ft. side yard setback)

Model	Dimensions	Square Footage
Lexington II	45'6"x 46'	2,056 sf.
Advantage VIII	47' x 48'6"	2,602 sf.
Discovery II (Ranch)	48' x 43'8"	1,178 sf.
Discovery III	45' x 39'4"	1,863 sf.
Discovery VII	45' x 40'4"	2,084 sf.
Heritage VII	48' x 31'8"	1,718 sf.

If you have any questions, please give me a call. Thanks.

/jh
Enc.

R.D. Murray Site Plan - Camp Road

Mr. Bill Savage, of R.D. Murray, appeared before the Planning Board with a site plan of a proposed expansion of their fire apparatus building on Camp Road. The issue of clearing has been raised. Based on a condition of the rezoning a 100' buffer must be maintained. This project will have to be re-approved with an infringement of the buffer to 156'. There is to be a note on the drawing that the applicant will plant more evergreens in the area that was disturbed. Applicant is to come in two weeks from tonight for the regular meeting.

South Park Woods - Change of condition

Mr. Cliff Krumm appeared before the Planing Board on a change of condition in the approval of South Park Woods, that will have 104 homes . They are asking for relief on the side yard and rear yard setbacks as the proposed units will not fit on the lot. There are 8 different models that Marrano has prepared that have 10' side yards. (See attachment). Mr. Reilly noted that he will have to check with the Big Tree Fire Department to determine whether they would have enough access in case of fire.

Mr. Reynolds stated that he has a problem with 5' and 5' side yard setbacks. This is too tight for a suburban community and would suggest smaller homes. Decision is held for comment from the fire department.

Engineering Comments: Our office has no objection tod the proposed setback changes. However, the developer should be advised that there will probably be additional infrastructure development costs associated with this changes and the allowable depth of utilities will be limited.

Ridgefield Estates Sub. Cluster Development - Tom Tasseff

The proposal is for a 70-80 lot subdivision off East Eden Road. At the present time, the road would be too long and there should be an emergency means of egress. The Conservation Board is interested in areas of dedication for the Town. A suggestion was made for a one way road to accommodate 20 homes. Item is to be on next agenda.

Comments from Planning are as follows:

- In accordance with the Town's Cluster Development regulations, the applicant has submitted two sketches; one with a regular layout and the other showing a cluster proposal. The Planning Board must determine if the applicant can utilize cluster development and state their reasoning.
- The applicant first requested a rezoning of this property to R-1 to accomplish a 50± lot development. This application was rejected as not being in conformance with the comprehensive Plan or Overlay Zoning district. Both state that densities in this area should not exceed one unit per acre. Due to other issues with the property, such as important Greenspace identified in the Comprehensive Plan (Greenspace/Rec. Plan), the applicant was told not to request a rezoning, but to propose a Cluster Development under the current zoning (this would be most protective of the property and its features).
- The Planning Department has met several times with the applicant; most recently after your last meeting (Rick Lardo, Drew Reilly, applicant and his engineers). At these meetings we have tried to resolve the problems

Tasseff (Cont.)

Planning Comments:

associated with the cluster layout. We still are recommending the use of the Cluster Development regulations, we believe the problem issues can be resolved: Access to the adjoining property to the east (Rick Lardo had an idea and I am researching the best location), a secondary means of egress (long dead end: some form of emergency egress will be necessary – possibly one way driveway, etc.), a methodology to achieve the "estate" lots at the rear (frontage on road, open development area, etc.), minimization of the impact to important features (wetlands, stream, woods), layout modifications (minimize infrastructure, maximize protection), dedication/protection of important lands (including access to any dedicated lands), and issues dealing with adjoining property owners.

- The applicant will present some new ideas for the cluster layout for your input.

Engineering Comments:

(1) The R-A concept does not show a secondary means of ingress to the site and is not acceptable. Both proposed cul-de-sacs and the existing road (Ridgefield Terrace) exceed the maximum street length of 500 feet required in the subdivision regulations Section 230-22.

(2) The cluster concept shows a second means of ingress to the site off Beaubein Drive. How would this be maintained and how would access be controlled? The cul-de-sac to the north also exceeds the maximum street length of 500 feet.

(3) The future access easement to the east is to be a paved dedicated roadway.

(4) The required stormwater detention area will probably need to be relocated or additional areas provided.

(5) A homeowners association would be required for Sublot Nos. 24 thru 26.

(6) Will the open green space areas be dedicated to the Town?

(7) All property lines on a curve are to be radial to the right-of-way.

(8) The site is not located in an existing water district. A water district extension will be required to service the site.

(9) The Erie County Water Authority (ECWA) should be contacted to determine if there is adequate pressure and flow to service the site.

(10) Erie County Sewer District No. 3 (ECSD No. 3) should be contacted to determine if there is currently sufficient capacity in the sanitary sewer system for the anticipated sewage flows.

Taylor Woods

This is another situation where the homes will not fit on the lot. They need relief on the front and rear yards. Mr. Reilly noted that he does not see a problem with this request as the homes will be located on a private road. The Engineering Department is concerned on the installation of utilities. The applicant was asked to provide a list of specific lots that are affected. Also a formal request in writing is in order.

Planning comments:

Taylor Woods

- Applicant is requesting amendment to approved preliminary subdivision plan. Approved plan shows patio home lots (cluster) with setbacks meeting the underlying zoning requirement. Applicant wishes to have cluster development setback limits.
- The Planning Department referred the applicant to the Planning Board because they would need you to approve this modification. At this time, the Planning Department does not see any major problems with this request since the road is a private road, and these homes would not be visible from public roads and would have little to no impact on any surrounding properties. Reasonable conditions could be placed on any re-approval to address any potential problems.

FROM: Engineering Dept.

DATE: 2/2/05

SUBJ: 2/2/05 PLANNING BOARD WORK SESSION AGENDA
Taylor Woods Subdivision

The required utilities for this project have been approved and the sanitary sewer has been installed. The front yard setback can not be reduced without review and approval of the Erie County Health Department and Erie County Dept. of Environment and Planning, on behalf of Erie County Sewer District No. 3.

The construction drawings will be required to be revised should the setback requirements be changed.

Hickey Farms Project - South Park & Big Tree

Mr. Mark Trammel appeared before the Planning Board on a proposed mixture of senior housing and family housing. Approximately 80% of the project will be focused on seniors and 20% for families. The first thing to be established is lead agency status. Copies are to be sent to: DEC, DEP, DOT, school district, fire district, Health Department, County Highway, Traffic Safety, Conservation Board.

All buildings will be rentals. All private roads must be built to Town standards. Mr. Trammel noted that they will have asphalt sidewalks, a community center, play areas, a reading room, computer room, kitchen facilities, and walking paths. Variances will be required on the railroad buffer, and Southwestern Overlay requirements.

Motion was made by Mr. Reynolds, seconded by Mr. Eustace to establish the Planning Board as Lead Agency.

Planning Comments:

- The application is for an apartment complex within an R-3 zoning district, which requires site plan approval by the Planning Board.
- The applicant first appeared before the Planning Board with a sketch plan many months ago. Based on input from the Planning Board, the applicant has researched the problem issues and has amended the plan. It is my understanding that the present application is a full site plan application.
- The Planning Board should begin our form review process by requesting Lead Agency for the SEQR process.
- The applicant will be presenting materials to the Planning Board concerning the project and its potential impacts. Based on these presentations and input from other Involved Agencies, the Planning Board will have to make a decision on SEQR: A Negative Declaration or a Positive Declaration.
- At this time the Planning Department, other Town departments and staff, and the Planning Board will need more time to thoroughly review the submitted information.

Engineering Comments:

(1) The Erie County Water Authority should be contacted to determine if there is adequate pressure and flow to service the site.

(2) The site is located within the Highland Acres Sanitary Sewer District. Due to NYSDEC restrictions on the sizing of the Highland Acres sewer system currently being constructed, there is not sufficient capacity available to service future site development in the area, including this project. It is our understanding that the developer's engineer is evaluating various alternatives to service the site through connections into other sewer districts.

(3) A New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) permit is required for work within the South Park Ave. and Big Tree Road right-of-ways. We are unable to approve these plans without NYSDOT review and approval of the work on their right-of-way.

(4) Storm water detention and erosion control will be required for the site.

(5) Subdivision approval is required for this project. A 0.69 acre parcel along South Park Ave. is being subdivided and deeded to Peter Kasperek.

(6) We will review and approve utility construction drawings when they are submitted.

Tally Ho Mountain Lodge - Camp Road

This is a sketch plan for a new motel in front of the present Tally Ho Motel on Camp Road. The zoning is C-2 with a proposed 3 story facility. There are problems with this project; namely, how will customers have ingress and egress to the property. Comments are as follows:

Planning:

Tally-Ho Suites

- This is a new application for site plan approval for a new motel off of Knight's Way. The application is a sketch plan, and the applicant is requesting input prior to making full site plan application.
- See review memos.
- The project is in the Camp Road overlay, and may have significant hurdles to clear prior to any approvals.

FROM: Engineering Dept.

DATE: 2/2/05

SUBJ: 2/2/05 PLANNING BOARD WORK SESSION AGENDA
Tally-Ho Mountain Lodge - Camp Road

The following are review comments on a site plan dated 1/17/05:

(1) The site plan review checklist was not complied with in preparing this plan. The site plan should be revised accordingly and resubmitted for review.

Meeting adjourned at 10:30 p.m.